

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/815,076	04/01/2004	Gregory A. Moffitt	7314		
7590 12/14/2005			EXAMINER		
James D. Givnan, Jr. 8175 S. W. Emst Road			A, PHI DIEU TRAN		
Portland, OR 97225			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3637		

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applica	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/815,	076	MOFFITT, GREGORY A.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Phi D. A		3637				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on ti	ne cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	!SS			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRATE IN THE MINISTRATE	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e junication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATIOn Event, however, may a reply be time will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from poplication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>01 April 2004</i> .						
		2b) This action is	non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the ap	polication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗀	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any object	•	•					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is requ	ired if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreian priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
_	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority docun	nents have been receiv	ed in this National Sta	age			
	application from the Internatio	nal Bureau (PCT Ru	ule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 			Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-15	i2)			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/30/04</u> .	F I O/3B/U0)	6) Other:	atoric application (i 10°10	-,			

Application/Control Number: 10/815,076

Art Unit: 3637

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 2

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burroughs et al (5921038) in view of Bates Jr. (2648107).

Burroughs et al shows a sill pan assembly (figures 4-5) for installation below a sill and providing a moisture barrier and including an elongate pane member (60), the member including inclined channel surfaces (70) transversely one some of the pan members for the collection of moisture, the inclined channel surfaces each terminating at an extremity of the elongate pan member, upright channel surfaces on the said pan member contiguous with the one or more inclined channel surfaces for passage of moisture from the last mentioned surfaces for discharge away from a building structure, the upright channel surfaces being contiguous with the sloped channel surfaces.

Burroughs et al does not show the assembly including a plurality of pan members partially overlapping one another.

Bates Jr. discloses a pane assembly including a plurality of pan members partially overlapping one another (col 2 lines 40-53) to enable the compensation for windowsill of a length of the length short of the standard length of the sill.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Burroughs et al's structure to show the assembly including a plurality of pan

Page 3

members partially overlapping one another because it would enable the pan assembly to compensate for window sill of a length of the length short of the standard length of the sill as taught by Bates Jr.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art shows different pan assemblies.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 571-272-6864. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 571-272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phi Dieu Tran A

12/11/05